Institutional and Regulatory Roles in Maintaining Sustainability of *Subak* as a World Cultural Heritage in Bali

I Nyoman Norken, I Ketut Suputra, and I Gusti Ngurah Kerta Arsana

Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University of Udayana, Jimbaran, Badung 80361, Bali, Indonesia (email: inorken@yahoo.co.uk)

Date of Acceptance: 4 May 2017

Abstract

Subak in Bali is a traditional irrigation system and is thought to have existed before the ninth century. Subak adopts the philosophy of Tri Hita Karana, which means three causes of the creation of harmony: the creation of a harmonious relationship with God/Creator; human relationship with the natural surroundings; and human relationship with other humans, and has been recognised as a World Cultural Heritage in 2012. Subak sustainability is dependent on the institutional and regulatory bodies in realizing the creation of harmonious relationship between man and man. The contribution of the board (Prajuru), especially the leaders (Pekaseh) of Subak in maintaining a tradition is held for many years, which is based on the principle of ngayah (working selflessly). The success of maintaining Subak is supported by the internal regulations (awig-awig); additional rules (perarem), and meeting decisions (pasuara). Awig-awig, which was originally just an agreement between the members of Subak, became a tradition called dresta or sima and is based on the belief related to Hinduism. Compliance of the prajuru and krama of Subak in carrying out activities in accordance with awig-awig is an important part in maintaining the Subak.

Subak is a traditional irrigation system in Bali which is thought to have been built and operated before the IX century. Subak is socio-religious and agrarian in nature, and is based on the philosophy of Tri Hita Karana - the philosophy of the traditional village in Bali. Tri Hita Karana philosophy includes three causes of harmony: the creation of a harmonious relationship between human and God (called Parahyangan), humans and other humans (called Pawongan), and humans and the natural surroundings (called Palemahan). The beauty of the landscape of Subak irrigation in terraces and its implementation philosophy of Tri Hita Karana in performing the activities of agriculture and water management for irrigation prompted UNESCO to award Subak as a World Heritage Property of the Cultural Landscape of Bali Province in 2012 (Ukirsari, 2012; Yekti *et al.*, 2017). Implementation of Tri Hita Karana in Subak system includes: Parahyangan relating to a series of religious ceremonies in the rice-growing season ranging from

Subak is a traditional irrigation system in Bali which is thought to have been built and operated before the IX century. Subak is socio-religious and agrarian in nature, and is based on the philosophy of Tri Hita Karana the philosophy of the traditional village in Bali. Tri Hita Karana philosophy includes three causes of harmony: the creation of a harmonious relationship between human and God (called Parahyangan), humans and other humans (called Pawongan), and humans and the natural surroundings (called Palemahan).

draining water from the river to irrigation canals to storing grains in storage (the barn); Pawongan - the Subak organization (called Sekehe Subak) and the regulation of the *awig-awig* along with additional rules called perarem and pasuara (decisions of the meeting), as well as Palemahanprocedures for water distribution or water management (Norken et al., 2015; Norken et al., 2016). This paper attempts to give a comprehensive picture of the existence of the organization and regulation of water control system and their role in carrying out the operation and maintenance as well as preserve the tradition that has been practiced from generation to generation and has got a recognition as world cultural heritage.

Methodology

This study was conducted through in-depth interviews to *Pekaseh* (Head) of *Subak* Pulagan at Tampaksiring village in Gianyar Regency and Pekaseh of *Subak* Jatiluwih at Jatiluwih village in Tabanan Regency which are parts of *Subak* as World Cultural Heritage; also to *Pekaseh* of *Subak* Pecelengan Pedukuan at Mendoyo Dangin Tukad Village in Jembrana Regency. In addition, this study was supported by a literature review relating to institutions and *awig-awig*, and their roles in maintaining *subak* sustainability as a World Cultural Heritage.

Results and discussion

Subak and its development

Although *Subak* is known to have existed for a long time, its definition was formally described in the Regional Regulation (*Perda*) of Bali Province No. 02 / PD/DPRD/1972. It defines *Subak* as "the organization of indigenous people of Bali which has socio-agrarian and religious

This paper attempts to give a comprehensive picture of the existence of the organization and regulation of water control system and their role in carrying out the operation and maintenance as well as preserve the tradition that has been practiced from generation to generation and has got a recognition as world cultural heritage.

characteristics which had historically been established since long time ago and continues to grow as an organization taking care of lands in the field of water management and others in an area" (Partha, 1972). Furthermore, the Regional Regulation (Perda) of Bali, No. 9 of 2012, defines "Subak is a traditional organization in the field of water system and/or planting system at the farm level for traditional communities in Bali which is socioagrarian, religious, and economical in nature which has historically continued to grow and flourish" (Pastika, 2012). Various investigators also give definitions of Subak each with different points of view, such as: Geertz (1980) defined Subak as rice fields that get water from one source. Grader (1979) described that Subak is a collection of fields of the same water source or from the same branch of a duct. Furthermore Sutha (1978) described that Subak is a community organization called Seka or Sekehe. Subak is an organized social entity whose members are bound to one another because of their common interest in relation to irrigation for rice fields, has leadership (board) which could act inside and outside the organization and has both material and immaterial assets (Griadhi et al., 1993). Sutawan (2008) defined Subak as a socio-religious agricultural and irrigarion institution dealing primarily with water management for the production of annual crops particularly rice based on the Tri Hita Karana principle. Thus Subak in principle is an irrigation and agricultural system which is traditional, religious, and autonomous in nature set up to regulate water from its source to irrigate rice fields and manage seasonal crops. But lately, *Subak* organization has been created to regulate all agricultural crops including plantation known as the "*Subak abian*" that manages plantations (Norken *et al.*, 2016).

Regarding the history and development of Subak, and its existence, the inscription Sukawana AI, in 882 AD (IX century), which mentioned "huma" means ricefield, while in the inscription Bebetin AI the year 986 AD (X Century) mentioned "undagi pangarung" means artisan building water tunnels or aungan in Balinese, further the inscription Pandak Badung in 1071 and Klungkung 1072 (XI century), wrote about "kasuwakan" or "kasubakan" in Balinese means Subak organizations, or an irrigation area (Purwita, 1993; Pangdjaja. 1998; Sutawan 2008). It further states that the number of Subak grew from 1193 in 1971 to 1331 in 1991 (Purwita, 1993), and to 1601 in 2013 (Astawa, 2013). In contrast, the total area of Subak got reduced from 103455 hectares in 1977 to 86911 hectares in 2012 (Sushila, 1987; Astawa, 2013). Rate of decline of rice growing area is a serious challenge to maintain Subak in future. In the last decade. land conversion of rice fields into non-rice fields reached to about 1,000 hectares per year and it seems likely to continue (Finlayson and Paramita, 2013).

Organization and organizational structure

Every *Subak* in Bali in general has its name and organizational structure. Although the organization structure is sometimes very simple its quite effective

in regulating the activities carried out by farmers who are members of Subak which is called Krama Subak, and administrators/boards of Subak called Prajuru Subak (Sushila 1987; Pitana 1993). A small Subak is led by only a chairman called Kelian Subak or Pekaseh and assisted by a secretary called Penyarikan or Juru Tulis, while for a large Subak the Prajuru consists of: Pekaseh (chairman/head), Petajuh (deputy chairman), Penyarikan or Juru Tulis (secretary), Patengen or Juru Raksa (Treasurer), Kasinoman or Juru Arah (channel of information) and Sava/Bhaga/Penvade (special assistant or working groups relating to various types of activities). For a large Subak or multiple Subaks which have the same water source is called Subak Gede, and chaired by the Pekaseh Gede and a deputy of Pekaseh Gede (Sushila, 1987; Pitana, 1993; Sutawan 2008; Norken et al., 2015). In the 1980s Subak organization began to be formed covering a watershed called Subak Agung and headed by Pekaseh Subak Agung. Subak also can be divided again by smaller portions called *tempek* and led by kelihan tempek, which is under a pekaseh (Pitana, 1993; Sutawan, 2008). Later there has been a development that the prajuru of a *Subak* is equipped with a working group called penyade or bhaga which is responsible for the implementation of Parahvangan, Pawongan, and Palemahan aspects, tailored to the needs of each Subak (Norken et al., 2016; Sutama, 2016). A pekaseh or prajuru are generally elected by consensus or acclamation (called survak siu) of the members of the Subak. In the past, elections were often held on the

basis of descent, where the son of a pekaseh directly replaces the parents in accordance with the traditions that are embraced from generation to generation. The main criteria of a pekaseh to be elected by members of Subak are: honesty, dedication, and loyalty exhibited by the attitude and behavior while becoming a member, as well as having a selfless devotion. Prajuru Subak is often formed for a longer term due to the trust shown by the members for their sincere and selfless performance - often called the principle of ngavah (Sutawan, 2008; Norken et al., 2015). Lately, there has been an agreement that the position of a Subak prajuru is limited to 5 years and may be re-elected, develops an election procedure by voting, and some change in the criteria, such as the candidate to be a prajuru, he must be honest and responsible, and educated enough.

Subak is an autonomous organization and decisions on various issues are taken through mutual agreement among the members of Subak with the board (paruman krama) as the highest power (Sushila 1996; Sutawan, 2008; Norken et al., 2015). So it is not surprising that every Subak has its own traditions (called *dresta*) that may vary in carrying out various activities. As for members of Subak, it is generally divided into three groups: a) krama pengayah or members having fields and work as a farmer; b) krama pangoot or *pengampel* representing the land owners; and c) karma leluputan given special tasks, such as bendesa adat (traditional village leader) (Sutawan, 2008; Norken et al., 2016). The general organizational structure is given in figure 1.



Figure 1. Subak structural organization (Sushila, 1996).

The obligation of *Prajuru* and *Krama*

Subak members have their respective duties and obligations in carrying out various activities. *Prajuru* and *krama* of *Subak* have an obligation in accordance with their position, which can be described as follows: *Subak* management (*prajuru*): a) implement, ensure and lead members of *Subak* in implementing regulations (*awigawig*), agreement (additional rules or *perarem*) as well as the decision of the meeting called *pasuara*, including chairing a meeting called *paruman* or *sangkepan*; b) guide and watch the ordinances and ceremonies (ritual called *pengaci*) to maintain the sanctity of the *Subak*; and c) represent the *Subak*. While members of *Subak* have the obligations of: a) observe and implement all the rules (*awig-awig*, *perarem* and *pasuara*); b) attend and participate in decision-making in the meeting of members; c) willing to be involved in the management in accordance with the agreement (*perarem* or *pasuara*). In addition, members of *Subak* have the task to be carried out including: a) maintaining the integrity of the water control system in order to succeed in line with expectations, b) carrying out development and ceremony on Subak, and c) carry out other obligations, such as paying taxes, dues/urunan (which is also called sarin tahun), carry out farming, keeping law and order in the distribution of water and farming, making a report when to sell a rice field. The obligations of management and members of Subak are generally governed by legislation (awigawig), any changes or additionals are made by decisions in the meeting called *pasuara* (Suanda and Aryana, 1999; Suanda and Derken, 1999; Artiya, 2011a; Artiya, 2011b; Sutama, 2016; Norken et al., 2016).

Rights of Prajuru and Krama

The management of *Subak* has the right to: a) obtain incentives from the contributions of the members whose amount and portions are in accordance to their position, for example: pekaseh 1000 marks (marks given for comparison among personnel of the board in accordance with its position), the deputy/petajuh 600 marks, secretaries/clerks 600 points, treasurer/juru raksa 600 marks Kelihan tempek 400 marks and so on; b) exempt from contributions/dues (urunan) and other forms of levies. Incentives earned by prajuru Subak are derived from urunan (called *sarin tahun* in the form of a bundle of rice and now in the form of money based on the ownership area of rice fields, around Rp. 50.000/kecoran, namely unit of water obtained, equivalent to 0.4 ha). The

amount of incentive that becomes the rights of a *prajuru* is the remainder of the sum of all urunan subtracted from the cost of implementation of the ritual (parahyangan) during the growing season (generally every 6 months), and then divided in accordance with the portions. Although the management of *Subak* gets incentives whose amount is generally very small (around Rp. 300,000- the money at current value every 6 months), but the managements of Subak always sincerely implement their obligation without rewards based on ngayah principle. While the right of members of *Subak* include: a) getting a share of water equitably, b) elect and be elected as *Subak* management, c) raising opinions and proposals, d) ask permission for not participating in activities or performing activities through deputation or making excuses, e) reporting a violation, f) getting a share of the wealth, and g) receiving good care and treatment (Norken et al., 2016).

In carrying out the obligations and the acquisition of rights, both administrators and members of *Subak* generally implement the principles of togetherness with the motto: *sagilik-saguluk salunglung-sabayantaka* (united and mutual respect or mutual assistance). With these principles intensity of conflict in general is very small, although sometimes there is a conflict but it is soon overcome by the management or by patron..

Patrons of Subak

In addition to having autonomous and independent management, *Subak* also has patrons from elements outside the *Subak* management. In the past, the most

respected Subak patrons were Sedahan and Sedahan Agung. Sedahan / Sedahan Yeh / *Pengelurah* is the officer of the District Government called Kecamatan that regulates and oversees irrigation water in its territory; while the next higher level of Sedahan named Sedahan Agung is the officer of Regency Government called Kabupaten/Kota that regulates and oversees the law and order of the irrigation system and is an advisor and implementer of the irrigation at the Regency level. Since the introduction of the Regional Autonomous Government at the Regency Level in the 2000s, the authority of the Regency Government plays a vital role in determining the Work Unit of Local Apparatus. This has a huge impact on the existence of Sedahan and Sedahan Agung gearing towards the obscurity of the existence as government officials patronizing the Subak. Lately only the Tabanan regency which is still functioning with Sedahan and Sedahan Agung (Norken et al., 2007; Norken et al., 2010). In addition, Subak is also fostered by the Public Works Department of Regency/ City Government and the Sub Department of Irrigation that takes care of the development and maintenance of irrigation structures. As for matters relating to agriculture, it is fostered by the Department of Agriculture, while issues related to customs are supervised by the Department of Culture. Lately various institutions related to Subak have appeared like - sabhantara pekaseh, forums of Subak, assemblies of Subak or the like which are basically organizations/associations of Subak aim and serve as a consultative and

In addition to having autonomous and independent management, Subak also has patrons from elements outside the Subak management.

coordinating media between *Subak* and *Subak patrons* and the government.

The role of the organization in the preservation of *Subak*

Although the Subak system is thousands of years old, it is still practiced today. Despite the rapid urbanization and development of tourism in Bali, the challenge in maintaining Subak is very heavy. Various challenges include: land use, lack of land ownership and low farming income, interest of youth in the wetland agricultural sector is very low, high risk of crop failure due to pests, decreasing quantity and quality of water resources, and so on. The principle of ngayah (working sincerely without expecting anything in return/profit) as a spirit embraced by the pekaseh, has given a strong foundation of devotion to duty-related tasks of Subak (Norken et al., 2016).

Subak regulation (Awig-Awig)

Awig-awig are regulations governing Subak internally and arranges a variety of activities related to organizations, rights and obligations of the board and the members. Awig-awig can be expanded and supplemented with additional rules called *perarem* and *pasuara* (decision of the meeting). Perarem and *pasuara* are usually done to adapt to the changes that occur to meet the demands of the farmers as

members of Subak. Awig-awig is the rules governing the implementation of the philosophy Tri Hita Karana in the execution of farming activities in the paddy fields. As a rule, awig-awig generally consists of a chapter called Sarga and Section called Palet, and article called Pawos. Scope of awig-awig include: names and places, the basic principles, membership rules, the rules of the religious aspect, the rules of irrigation, setting fines, etc. Awig-awig is usually explained and written in Balinese language and characters, although lately it is written in Latin characters as well and approved by the Government. Awig-awig of each Subak is generally not equal because it is basically made according to the agreements based on solidarity and fairness to assume the rights and obligations of the principle called: sagiliksaguluk salunglung sabayantaka (unity and respect of Indonesian - called gotong royong). Not all awig-awig of Subak made in writing and approved by the authorities, some *Subak* set simple *awig-awig* or even unwritten. In the past awig-awig was not written and only set in a custom called dresta. However, awig-awig is always respected, followed, and adhered to by the members and the board of Subak Suanda and Derken, 1996; (Suanda and Aryana, 1999; Artiya, 2011a; Artiya, 2011b; Sutama, 2016; Norken et al., 2016).

The role of regulation in the preservation of *Subak*

Awig-awig which was originally just an agreement with the members of Subak through meetings (paruman or

Awig-awig are regulations governing Subak internally and arranges a variety of activities related to organizations, rights and obligations of the board and the members. Awig-awig can be expanded and supplemented with additional rules called perarem and pasuara (decision of the meeting).

sangkepan), then became a tradition (*dresta*) and a culture that is based on the belief in Hinduism in Bali, and passed on from generation to generation in conducting paddy farming (irrigation). Awareness in maintaining harmonious relationship between man and God (Parahyangan) becoming the spirit of awig-awig of Subak, and has become a driving force for *Subak* to continue various activities even today, despite various limitations of modern time. The challenge faced by Subak is the inconsistent regulation of external elements that is supposed to be supporting its existence, such as regulations or statute laws of the central government. For example, the replacement of Bali Provincial Regulation No.02 /PD /DPRD /1972 by the Bali Provincial Regulation No. 9 of 2012 on irrigation has not strengthen the presence of Subak. However, at the national level Subak receives recognition through the Government Regulation (PP) 20 of 2006 on irrigation, but the regulation is not valid and does not have a replacement along with the repeal of Law No. 7 of 2004 on Water Resources (Gatra, 2015). In future regulatory support from the government is needed in efforts to preserve the *Subak* that has been recognized as a World Cultural Heritage (Norken *et al.*, 2016).

Conclusion

Subak in principle, is a traditional irrigation system, which is socio-religious and agrarian in nature based on the philosophy of Tri Hita Karana and is recognized as a World Cultural Heritage of UNESCO. Every Subak from a small to large ones has been adapted to the needs of the organization, headed by a Chairman called Pekaseh elected with the principle of deliberation and is very effective in organizing various activities. Sincerity and dedication of the Pekaseh evoke sympathy and respect of the members of Subak who follow and obey all policies that have been agreed and approved together. Each Subak has internal regulations called *awig-awig* written or unwritten, are carried from generation to generation, respected and adhered to sincerely by the board (*prajuru*) and members (krama) of Subak. The role of the organization and *awig-awig* is very important in maintaining and preserving the existence of Subak.

Acknowledgment

We would like to sincerely thank our colleagues in Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, University of Udayana, as well as the officials and members of Indonesian Commission on Irrigation and Drainage (INACID) Branch of Bali who have provided feedback and encouragement for preparing this paper.

References

Artiya IBM. 2011a. *Awig-Awig* of *Subak* Pulagan, Tampakiring Village, Gianyar Regency, Bali, Indonesia. pp.1–22.

Artiya IBM. 2011b. *Pararem Penyacah Awig-Awig* (addition rules of *Awig-Awig*) of *Subak* Pulagan, Tampakiring Village, Gianyar Regency, Bali, Indonesia. pp. 1–15.

Astawa IP. 2014. Bali Development Data 2013. Government of Bali Province, Denpasar, Indonesia. VIII–9 pp.

Finlayson R and **Paramita E.** 2013. *Subak*, Bali Irrigation System, Being on the Verge of Destruction. Accesed August 30, 2013. (http://www.worldagroforestry.org/news/suba k-sistem-irigasi-khas-bali-berada-di-ambangkehancuran). (in Indonesian)

Gatra S. 2015. The Constitutional Court Cancel Entire Contents on Water Resources Law. Accessed February 18, 2015. (http://nasional.kompas.com/read/2015/02/18/ 23010761/MK.Batalkan.Seluruh.Isi.di.UU.) (in Indonesian)

Geertz C. 1980. Organization of the Balinese *subak*. In: Irrigation and Agricultural Development in Asia: Perspective From Social Science, (Coward, Jr EW, ed), Cornel University Press Publ, Ithaca, New York. pp. 78–79.

Graders CJ. 1979. *Subak* in the Kingdom of Jembrana, (DeranaTR, ed), Bureau of Documentation and Legal Publ, Faculty of Law, University of Udayana, Denpasar, Bali Indonesia. 1 pp.

Griadhi IKW, Sirtha IN, and **Swasthawa IM**. 1993. *Subak* in Law Perspective. In: *Subak*, Traditional Irrigation System in Bali, (Pitana I G, ed), Upada Sastra Publ, Denpasar, Indonesia.pp.65–93.

Norken IN, Windia IW, Mudina IM, Geria IW, and Jelantik S. 2007. Enhancing the Effectiveness of Water Resources Management

Based on *Subak* Institutions in Bali. Final Report. BAPPEDA: Bali, Indonesia. pp.39–74.

Norken IN, Suputra IK, and Arsana IGNK. 2010. The History and Development of *Sedahan* as a Coordinator of Water Management for *Subak* in Bali. In: Proceedings of the International Commission on Irrigation and Drainage (ICID-CIID). Yogyakarta, Indonesia. 148 pp.

Norken IN, Suputra IK, and **Arsana IGNK.** 2015. Water Resources Management of *Subak* Irrigation System in Bali. Journal of Applied Mechanics and Materials 776 (2015): 139–44.

Norken IN, Suputra IK, Arsana IGNK, and Sari SNI. 2016. Implementation of *Tri Hita Karana* in *Subak* as World Cultural Heritage (Case Study on *Subak* Pulagan in Tampaksiring Village, Gianyar Regency). Study Report, Master Program in Civil Engineering, Post Graduate Program Udayana University, Denpasar, Indonesia. pp. 21–43.

Pangdjaja IB. 1998. Guidance on *Subak* in Bali. Department of Culture of Province of Bali, Denpasar, Indonesia. 13 pp.

Partha IGN. 1972. Regional Regulation (*Perda*) of Bali No. 02/PD/DPRD/1972 of the Bali Provincial Irrigation. In: *Subak*, Traditional Irrigation System in Bali, (Pitana IG, ed), Upada Sastra Publ, Denpasar, Indonesia. pp. 210–215.

Pastika MM. 2012. Regional Regulation (*Perda*) of Bali No. 9 year 2012 of the *Subak*. Provincial Legislature of Bali, Denpasar, Indonesia. 3pp.

Pitana IG. 1993. *Subak*, Traditional Irrigation System in Bali: A General Description. In: *Subak*, Traditional Irrigation System in Bali, (Pitana IG, ed), Upada Sastra Publ, Denpasar, Indonesia. pp.1–32.

Purwita IBP. 1993. The Historical Study of *Subak* in Bali. In: *Subak*, Traditional Irrigation System in Bali, (Pitana IG, ed), Upada Sastra Publ, Denpasar, Indonesia. pp. 33–48.

Suanda IW and **Aryana IK**. 1999. *Pasuara* (Meeting Desicions) of *Subak* Pecelengan Pedukuhan, Mendoyo District, Jembrana Regency, Bali, Indonesia. pp. 1–4.

Suanda IW and **Derken IW**. 1996. *Awig-awig* of *Subak* Pecelengan Pedukuhan, Mendoyo District, Jembrana Regency, Bali, Indonesia. pp.1–44.

Sushila J. 1987. Typical Characteristics of *Subak* Irrigation System in Bali. The Sub-Department of Irrigation, Public Works Department of Bali Province, Denpasar, Indonesia. 2pp.

Sushila J. 1996. Functions and Roles of *Subak Gede* in Irrigation Management System in Bali, a paper presented on Bali Provincial Water Management, Bali Irrigation Project, Denpasar, Indonesia. 25 pp.

Sutawan N. 2008. Organization and Management of *Subak* in Bali. Pustaka Bali Post Publ, Denpasar, Indonesia. pp. 9–222.

Sutama IN. 2016. *Awig-awig* of Subak Jatiluwih, Jatiluwih Village, Tabanan Regency, Bali, Indonesia. pp. 3–36.

Sutha IGK. 1978. Review the Subak System in Bali. Bureau of Documentation and Legal Publ, Faculty of Law, University of Udayana, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia. 7 pp.

Ukirsari, M. 2012. UNESCO Plaque, Recognition Subak as a World Heritage 2012. A c c e s e d S e p t e m b e r 25, 2012. (http://nationalgeographic.co.id/berita/2012/0 9/plakat-unesco-pengakuan-subak-sebagaiwarisan-dunia-2012). (in Indonesian).

Yekti MI, Schultz B, Norken IN, Gany AHA, and **Hayde L.** 2017. Learning from Experiences of Ancient *Subak* Schemes for Participatory Irrigation System Management in Bali. Journal of Irrigation and Drainage (2017), Published online in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com). Version of Record online: 30 MAR 2017. DOI: 10.1002/ird.2122.